

**Department of Public Safety  
Records & Technology Division  
MTG Disposition Study and  
Bill Draft Request**

NCJIS Advisory Committee Meeting  
January 11, 2012  
Julie Butler, Records Bureau Chief

# Why are Criminal History Records Important?

- Foundation of the criminal justice community both state- and nationwide
- Used by law enforcement, prosecutors, courts, corrections, parole/probation, and social services agencies to protect the public
- Information must be:
  - Complete: includes all arrests, disposition and sentencing information
  - Timely: reported to the Repository as it occurs
  - Accurate: correct and reliable

# Criminal History Records, continued

- Increasingly used by employers and licensing agencies to screen job applicants/volunteers and protect vulnerable populations
  - In Nevada some 62 occupational fields plus myriad local ordinances require a criminal history records check prior to employment/licensing
  - “Background check” misleading
  - Can provide a false sense of security

# History of E-Dispos in Nevada

- AOC and Repository have been working since 2004 to automate the exchange of disposition information between Courts/Repository
  - Goal was more complete, timely & accurate criminal history records
- Piloted with two courts with minimal success
  - Carson City Municipal/Justice Court
  - Las Vegas Justice Court

# E-dispo history, continued...

- Based on pilot results, joint decision made to take a step back
- AOC/Repository partnered on a federal grant to conduct a business analysis of the manual disposition reporting process in Nevada
- MTG Management Consultants selected in May 2011 to conduct the business analysis and recommend a path forward

# MTG's Methodology

- Interviewed key staff at AOC, Repository, local law enforcement agencies, prosecutors' offices, and courts to learn how information is shared through the arrest and conviction cycle
- Local agencies interviewed:
  - Carson City
  - Clark County
  - Washoe County
  - Elko County
  - Churchill County

# MTG's Principal Findings

- Nevada suffers from **incomplete, inaccurate,** and **untimely** criminal history record information
- **Lack of Governance** contributes to poor record quality
  - Format of reporting
  - Duplicate reporting/late reporting/no reporting
  - Confusion about *who* reports *what* and *when*
    - NRS 179A.075(3)

# Other Findings

- Users perceive Repository information as incomplete
- Person information passed to downstream agencies before identity verified through fingerprints
- Vocabulary problems lead to poor matching rates
- High level of manual intervention required— inefficient and duplicative
- Prosecutor involvement critical but largely missing
  - Charge-based versus Case-based
- No “accounting” to ensure movement of critical data between agencies



# Lack of Governance: Some Recent Examples

- Nevada Offense Codes
- GangNet
- CAD/RMS
- Protection Orders



# A Path Forward

- *Business As Usual* No Longer an Option
- MTG made recommendations for a new, integrated criminal justice system and a plan for implementation, but...
- **Takes Governance to Solve**

# BDR Review

- Intent: to form a cohesive governance body to make policy and strategic decisions for the future of criminal justice information sharing in Nevada
- NCJIS Advisory poised to step into this role
  - Original intent in 2005
  - Committee composition would be different
- Section-by –Section review and discussion

# Discussion and Action

- Will NCJIS Advisory endorse the concept?
- Future of NCJIS Advisory and Next Steps