

NCJIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Nevada Department of Public Safety
Records and Technology Division
333 West Nye Lane, Suite 100
Training Room
Carson City, Nevada 89706
January 28, 2010
1:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Pat Conmay, Chief, Records and Technology Division, Nevada Department of Public Safety
Assemblyman Bernie Anderson
Todd Westergard, Administration Chief, Gaming Control Board
John Helzer, Deputy District Attorney, District Attorney's Association
James Earl, Attorney General's Office

MEMBERS WITH PROXY

Ron Titus, AOC proxy Scott Sosebee, proxy letter submitted
Howard Skolnik, Director DOC proxy Pam Del Porto

MEMBERS EXCUSED

Senator Maurice Washington
Robert Quick, NVSCA
John Helzer, WCDA

A. Call open meeting to order and roll call (action)

Co-Chair Assemblyman Anderson called to order. The committee does not have a quorum therefore the action items will be tabled to the next meeting. Roll call taken by Anita Kerr. **Mr. Anderson asked Chief Conmay to reschedule the meeting to take care of the tabled items.**

B. Introduction of Chief Pat Conmay (discussion)

Julie Butler introduced the new Records and Technology Division Chief. She gave a brief history of his employment, thanked him for accepting this position and we look forward to his reign as Chief. Chief Conmay stated he looks forwards to his endeavors ahead. Mr. Anderson commented on Chief Conmay's role and stated he has a great Division to work with.

C. July 23, 2009 meeting minutes comment, revision and acceptance (action)

Tabled

D. NCJIS Advisory Committee Election of Chair (action)

Turned into a discussion item as food for thought for the next meeting.

E. Letter of Appreciation for Retired Chair (action)

Mr. Anderson read the proclamation as a discussion item as Mr. O'Neill is not in attendance to receive this document. On a personal note, Mr. Anderson gave a brief history of Mr. O'Neill's role as the Division Chief as it relates to his Legislative participation. **Mr. Anderson asked Julie Butler to deliver the proclamation to Mr. O'Neill.** Ms. Butler sent P.K. O'Neill's regrets of his absence on behalf of him.

F. NCJIS History and Committee Structure (discussion)

Patty Peters and Teresa Wiley presented this agenda item. Patty Peters spoke first. The purpose is to educate the members as to what the responsibilities of the TACs throughout the state are and to explain the history and structure of committees as they relate to criminal history. Ms. Peters spoke

in regards to the TAC info – **SEE .PPT. Teresa Wiley spoke in regards to the committees – SEE .PPT** Questions??? Mr. Anderson had some observations = we still have some questions relative to the NOCs as to (LCB still inquires on status) why do we use NOCs instead of NRS and who authorized and paid for that? DRIP means what? Disaster Recover and Infrastructure Project. Some people were concerned with why do they have to have 2-5 work cards if they hold multiple jobs in various jurisdictions. How has the switch over to GCB helped? Locals love it and GCB feels it has worked out well. Mr. Anderson – with AOC’s committee on court records with TPOs being a big issue, LEA are doing a better job with serving TPOs now that there is a standardized form and NCJIS file for quick inquiry purposes? Ms. Wiley said yes and in the process now working on stalking and harassment since currently only DV. Mr. Anderson referenced a newspaper article discussing DUI 3rd time offenders not supposed to have a DL for 2-3 years but some fell through the cracks. In terms of that, how do you note SBH with DUIs to ensure the judge does his/her part to ensure DMV is not issued DL after release from prison. Ms. Wiley stated that arrest info is forwarded to DMV to be placed on their DMV record. Mr. Sosebee mentioned that DMV is rejecting DUI convictions and sending back to courts for additional research prior to posting. Mr. Anderson is wondering if we can play a part in ensuring all agencies are doing their part to put this information where it needs to be when it should be there. Ms. Wiley said she would put it on her subcommittee agenda. Mr. Sosebee can put together a summary as AOC knows it. It appears most of the issues come from the electronic submissions are a large part of the issue. Mr. Earl referred to the 2010 page of presentation and asked for more information on DRIP. Ms. Krause explained that DRIP has been going on for some time to replace the entire server infrastructure for all of the CHR applications statewide and add disaster recovery capability through a 2nd site in LV. 1st part being the infrastructure replacement for all of NCJIS. Mr. Anderson does not anticipate there will be a great deal of speckles left in the sand to fund further. Chief Conmay said additional money should not be an issue.

G. Technology Prioritization Process (discussion)

Chief Conmay will provide an overview of Technology’s process changes. Chief Conmay prefaced with that he is new and this will be his perception of what he has absorbed in a couple of months. When he started, there was a list of projects being discussed. When he looked at that list, his interpretation was that it was actually a wish list and was too large to expect that they would be addressed. So he looked at it closely to see which projects are more likely to be addressed. We need to better manage what constitutes a project and how it will be completed. In house for DPS it is easy for him to create a policy for us to follow regarding project requests procedures. In a broader sense we need to think in terms how we will decide what is coordinated and the long term goals. In prelim conversations with Technology Chief Catherine, how do we decide if the project is doable, how it fits in with any others and what resources can we assign. He established for DPS a draft for project proposals. He wonders how the outside agencies feel about implementing something similar. LEA might want to give that to NVSCA meetings to discuss what they would like to see. In a real broad sense, what he proposes is governance bodies inside DPS then how do we handle the outside community. AOC is dealing with the same issue and have arrived at the same process as DPS has. With the inter-relationships it’s difficult to prioritize who is running the show. Conclusion is a similar type of governance that would pull multiple agencies together to decide statewide priorities. Chief Conmay agreed that AOC’s thoughts are the same as his. The process needs to be more formal due to the sheer volume of statewide activity. Random grant seeking from multiple agencies have caused a large issue due to the inconsideration of project costs and demands because a governance body was not involved in the grant review and request. If we had procedures and a governance body it would be better to deal with future issues. Mr. Anderson said it seems like the same problem NV has faced forever. We live in a state where north and south are divided. It isn’t that they don’t all care, it’s that they don’t have the time, expertise and/or availability to handle it. This challenge puts off issues until at least 2012/2013. Mr. Sosebee said it’s because of the realities that makes the governance body important. We need to identify the core essential process without losing what we’ve worked toward to now. If the infrastructure falls apart, we will regress back to

the dawn of the paper age which is the reason for DRIP. A lot of the projects we want to push forward hinge on DRIP so we've delayed them. Chief made a decision that the foreign host connections will be suspended until DRIP is complete. Mr. Anderson asked why the agencies that are currently not connected are still not connected. Chief said it's a combination of technology. Kathy Comba doesn't know the number of who aren't connected but she explained that foreign hosts are an easier way for an agency to run JLink queries without being connected to JLink separately. Mr. Anderson asked what is the downside to delaying foreign host connections? Chief said we are not causing a situation of non-access, we are simply delaying the process of making it a one stop shop rather than utilizing multiple systems to retrieve information. The CJIS gave up funds that it needed to meet the economic demands for this biennium. It was not something we were pleased about but having the priorities set by that body would be extremely useful. Coordination and prioritization are the keys to survive over the next 2-4 years and not go backwards even if the progress is not what we want at least it will be some sort of progress. When we seek grants for technology related projects, we need to have a plan to avoid the challenges we are facing today.

H. Status Report, Records Bureau (discussion)

SEE .PPT – presented by Julie Butler. AGO per AB85 has a subcommittee on this issue but it remains the same. AB85 was created to address the issues with AB579. Tribes fall under Federal jurisdiction which is an opt in to AWA and if they didn't then the responsibility would fall to the state. 4 agencies opted out so now we need to figure out how to impose a law on the Tribes that we can't stipulate on our own population. 13 Tribes opted in. DAG Keith Munroe sent a letter stating that while the injunction is in place, we are not going to deal with the tribes. Implement Offender Watch® by 2010. Mr. Anderson said the Advisory Commission on the Admin. of Justice knew about certain groups statewide that needs this information. Therefore, the LCB created this bill. R&TD not entirely sure what LCB is looking for but will move forward with accepting whatever info the statutorily mandated agencies provide. Julie answered Mr. Anderson's question regarding whether ICE has statistics on what the success has been to ensure ICE is following through on their end. Pam Del Porto asked if the NARIP grant can fund an MSA to get the interface done. Chief answered with we don't have the Technology Bureau Project Manager staff to coordinate the MSA with the project.

I. Public Comment (discussion)

No comments

J. Comments of NCJIS Advisory Committee Members (discussion)

No comments. Anita Kerr explained By-Laws stipulate 2 meetings in a calendar year. Anita Kerr will prepare temporary minutes for review by members.

K. Schedule next NCJIS Advisory Committee meeting (action)

3:20 PM Adjournment of meeting